

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,997	07/16/2003	Deborah Barker	4527.008	8849
7590 01/21/2005			EXAMINER	
Mark D. Bowen			BUI, LUAN KIM	
Stearns Weaver Miller, et al. Suite 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 East Broward Boulevard			3728	
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/620,997	BARKER, DEBORAH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luan K Bui	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleved in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
 Notice of Preferences Gled (176-632) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/16/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 10/620,997 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the phrase "sized for foldable reception within said main body compartment" as recited in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). The drawings fails to show the plurality of panels foldable within the main body compartment No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/620,997 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are replete with indefinite language too numerous to mention specifically, and should be revised carefully. For example only, in claim 1, line 5, the phrase "peripheral sides" appears a double recitation of line 3. The phrases "said main body panel" in claims 1, 8 (two places) and 12 (two places), "said plurality of panels" in claim 1, "the panel" in claim 5, "the panel" in claim 8, line 11, "said main body peripheral walls" in claim 9 and "said panel in a compact roll-folded configuration" in claim 15 lack proper antecedent basis. The term "adapted" as recited in claims 1, 7 and 8 is indefinite because it has no clear meaning. How is it adapted? In claim 8, the phrase "adapted with" should be replaced with --including-- similar to claim 12. The applicant is required to make corrections to the claims wherever appropriate in order to clarify same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by LeSage (4,324,446). To the extent that the Examiner can determine the scope of the claims, LeSage discloses a jewelry case (10) comprising a main body (12) defining a compartment (18) having a

Application/Control Number: 10/620,997 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

bottom panel and peripheral sides (20-24) projecting upward from the bottom panel and a plurality of panels (14, 16) connected to the peripheral sides of the main body with each of the panel including means for attachment (42) to the main body in covering relation with the compartment and each of the panels having adapted with means (66, 84) for storing jewelry. The plurality of panels of LeSage is inherently capable for foldable reception within the main body compartment.

6. Claims 1, 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mech (5,511,873). To the extent that the Examiner can determine the scope of the claims, Mech discloses a jewelry case (10) comprising a main body (12) defining a compartment having a bottom panel and peripheral sides (24-30) projecting upward from the bottom panel and a plurality of panels (14, 16) connected to the peripheral sides of the main body with each of the panel including means for attachment (18) to the main body in covering relation with the compartment and each of the panels having adapted with means (66, 70, 74) for storing jewelry. The plurality of panels of Mech is inherently capable for foldable reception within the main body compartment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3728

8. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LeSage (4,324,446) or Mech (5,511,873) in view of Roegner (5,890,587). Either LeSage or Mech discloses the jewelry case as above having all the limitations of the claims except for the means for storing jewelry comprises closable pockets with a transparent portion. Roegner teaches a jewelry case (10) comprising a main body having means for storing jewelry including closable pockets (50-57) with a transparent portion. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Roegner to modify the case of LeSage or Mech so the case includes means for storing jewelry and the means includes closable pockets with a transparent portion for better securing the jewelry.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 8-17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-4552. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Ms. Merilyn Watts at (571) 272-4398.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (703) 872-9306 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb

January 19, 2005

Zuan K. Bui

Primary Examiner